UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MONTE RUSSELL, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: C 07 3993 CW

v

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

WELLS FARGO AND COMPANY,

Defendant.

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form: They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

IJ

X Private ADR: The parties have agreed to participate in private mediation. A provider has not yet been selected and agreed upon. The parties have agreed to exchange lists of acceptable providers on or before October 23, 2007.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

X the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)

other requested deadline

Dated: 10/15/07

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 10/15/07

Attorney for Defendant

-{PROPOSED} ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session
X 90 days from the date of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED

10/25/07

Dated:

Chidialeit

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DISTRICT

Document 16 Filed 10/25/2007

Page 3 of 3

Case 4:07-cv-03993-CW